

DIRECT SERVICE PREVENTION PROGRAMS—OUTPUT PERFORMANCE MEASURES

INDICATOR #	OUTPUT MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
1	Earmark funds awarded for prevention services	Increase availability of youth and family prevention services	The amount of Earmark grant funds in whole dollars that are awarded for program <u>prevention</u> services during the reporting period. Program records are the preferred data source.	Earmark grant dollars awarded to program for prevention services.
2	Number of prevention service slots created	Increase availability of youth and family prevention services	The number of new prevention slots created during the reporting period as a result of Earmark funds. Program records are the preferred reporting source.	The number of prevention slots created during the reporting period using Earmark funds.
3	Number of youths or youths and families served	Increase youth and family participation in prevention services	An unduplicated count of the number of youth (or youth and families) served by the program during the reporting period. Definition of the number of youth (or youth and families) served for the reporting period is the number of youth (or youth and families) carried over from the previous reporting period plus new admissions during the reporting period.	Number of program youth (or youth and families) carried over from the previous reporting period, plus new admissions during the reporting period.
4	Number of programs that implement a best practices model	Increase use of evidence-based best practice prevention models	Number and percent of programs that implement a best practices prevention model. Best practice models include program models that have been shown, through rigorous evaluation and replication, to be effective at preventing or reducing juvenile delinquency or related risk factors, such as substance abuse. Model programs can come from many valid sources (e.g., Blueprints, OJJDP's Model Programs Guide, SAMHSA's Model Programs, State Model Program resources, etc.).	A. Number of programs implementing a best practice prevention model B. Number of programs C. A/B
5	Number of youth or youths and families served by a program with a best practices prevention model	Improve program effectiveness	Number and percent of youth (or youth and families) served using a best practices prevention model. Program records are the preferred source of data.	A. Number of youth or youth and families receiving a best practices prevention model B. Number of program youth or youth and families C. A/B
6	Number of program staff who have completed training in program area	Increase program quality	Number and percent of program staff who have completed training or a formal learning opportunity during the reporting period.	A. Number of program staff completing training or formal learning opportunity B. Number of program staff enrolled C. A/B
7	Average Length of Stay in Program	Improve program effectiveness	The average length of time (in days) that clients remain in the program. Include data for clients who complete program requirements as well as those who do not. Preferred data source is program records.	Average length of time in days between program entry and program exit regardless of reason for exit.

INDICATOR #	OUTPUT MEASURE	OBJECTIVE	DEFINITION	REPORTING FORMAT
8	Number of Service Hours Completed	Improve program effectiveness	The number of hours of service completed by program youth (or youth and families) during the reporting period. Service is defined as any explicit activity (such as program contact, counseling sessions, course curriculum, community service, etc.) delivered by program staff or by other professionals that are dedicated to completion of program requirements. Preferred data source is the program's records.	Number of hours of service completed by program youth or youth and families during reporting period.

Bold represents mandatory indicators.